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Abstract

Hypertension is one of the most commonly diagnosed conditions in the general population. In 2017, the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association lowered the threshold for hypertension diagnosis from 140 mmHg to 130 mmHg for systolic blood pressures. These new guidelines have brought into question the true prevalence of hypertension and overall risk of hypertensive complications. While the cardiovascular effects of hypertension have been a long-held concern, there is a growing awareness for the need to understand the extracardiac concerns of hypertension. Specifically, the brain and nervous system are vulnerable extracardiac targets of hypertensive damage. Hypertension is a well-known risk factor for ischemic and more so hemorrhagic stroke as well as cerebral small vessel disease (cSVD) including vascular dementia. However, hypertension may also have association to less-known neurological presentations which themselves may also pose risk for stroke and cSVD. This includes obstructive sleep apnea, posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome, and neurogenic hypertension. We aim to present an overview of the contemporary literature in regard to hypertension and clinical consideration as it applies to the cerebrovascular system.
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Introduction

For decades, arterial hypertension has been a well-established health burden, notably for cardiovascular complications such as ischemic heart disease (IHD). Known as the silent killer, extracardiac complications of hypertension have become a primary concern for preventative healthcare. Ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke are archetypal examples that combined, have risen from fifth (1990) to third (2017) on the list of most prevalent causes of early death globally, after IHD and neonatal disorders.

The global prevalence of hypertension has been predicted to rise from 972 million in 2000 to 1.56 billion by year 2025. Current rates are already at an estimated 1.13 billion (2015). In 2017, the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association lowered systolic pressure thresholds that define hypertension, from 140 mmHg to 130 mmHg [Table 1]. This was in response to a randomized clinical trial data demonstrating that lower cardiovascular events and mortality rates are associated with a systolic pressure target of <120 mmHg. These new diagnostic thresholds have been inconsistently implemented across clinical practice and research, making current prevalence predictions inaccurate and subsequent extracardiac consequences underestimated.

However, there is a growing body of research and clinical knowledge of hypertension-associated pathophysiology and clinical outcomes. In addition, traditional borders segregating the roles and functions unique to each clinical specialty are becoming multidisciplinary. Thus, there is a growing need to have an up-to-date knowledge base of hypertension-associated pathologies as it relates to their specialty. In the context of neurology, this knowledge refers to the impact of hypertension...
Table 1: American Heart Association classification of BP in adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BP category</th>
<th>Unrevised BP thresholds (mmHg)</th>
<th>2017 revised BP thresholds (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>Diastolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>&lt;130</td>
<td>&lt;85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High normal</td>
<td>130–139</td>
<td>85–89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension stage 1</td>
<td>140–159</td>
<td>90–99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension stage 2</td>
<td>160–179</td>
<td>100–109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive crisis</td>
<td>&gt;180</td>
<td>&gt;110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP: Blood pressure

The brain is a highly homeostatic dependent, and therefore vulnerable, organ, reliant on exquisite, autoregulatory control of cerebral perfusion, as measured by cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP):[8]  

\[ \text{CPP (i.e., MAP–ICP)} = \text{CBF} \times \text{CVR} \]

Autoregulation of CPP is a homeostatic process which involves real-time changes in cerebral vascular resistance (CVR) in a pattern inverse to the rate of cerebral blood flow (CBF). As such, it ensures that when the mean arterial pressure (MAP) of the systemic circulation increases, CVR inversely increases. Therefore, a constant CBF sufficient to the cerebral metabolic demands must be maintained despite wider systemic flow variation.

Up to 75% of initial CPP elevations is believed to be relieved by vasodilatory control of large cerebral arteries;[9] in effect, preventing the pulsatile arterial pressure from reaching small pial arteries and arterioles and penetrating arterioles, and thereby preserving the downstream microvasculature. The exact process and control of cerebral autoregulation are not completely understood. However, recent literature has emphasized it to be a more complex interplay between neurogenic, myogenic, as well as intrinsic microvascular metabolic and endothelial mediators.[10] This autoregulation can be visualized as a triphasic curve; the limits of effective autoregulation are within CPP of 50 and 150 mmHg in a supine normotensive patient.[5] However, in chronic hypertension, autoregulation sees a rightward shift (i.e., increasing the set point of regulated pressure range).[9] This shift is thought to be neuroprotective by chronic resistance against high blood pressure (BP) -induced damage to the downstream microcirculation. While the etiology is unconfirmed, it has been hypothesized as a blunting of baroreceptors in response to ongoing tangential arterial wall stress.[11]

**Acute cerebral microvascular dysregulation**

When the average systemic arterial pressures (i.e., MAP) causes CPP to surpass 150 mmHg, autoregulation is lost. Cerebrovascular resistance is fatigued and pial arteries and arterioles and penetrating arterioles receive unregulated pulsatile systemic flow. Specifically, MAP above 200 mmHg has been shown to cause irreversible cerebral damage.[12] The vasogenic theory instructs unregulated hyperperfusion to create an increased capillary hydrostatic pressure, subsequent capillary rupture, and breakdown of the blood–brain barrier. Secondary injury cascades involve intrinsic pro-inflammatory markers, mass effect, and downstream ischemia causing white matter damage. The outcome of ischemic injury is also anatomically variable; a richer sympathetic innervation to the anterior cerebral circulation (ACC) as opposed to the posterior cerebral circulation provides ACC more protection against slight BP elevations by increasing the upper limit of the cerebral autoregulation.[13]
Chronic cSVD and remodeling
cSVD is a collective term for pathological changes in the microvascular architecture; small arteries, arterioles, capillary beds, and small veins. Usually asymptomatic, chronic hypertension causes microatheromatous structural changes to increase risk of acute presentations of ischemic stroke and intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) as well as chronic cognitive decline. Chronic benign hypertension induces hyaline arteriolosclerosis, the thickening of the vessel wall due to replacement of intramural smooth muscle with fibrin and hyaline plasma materials (lipohyalinosis). In acute malignant hypertension, hyperplastic arteriolosclerosis occurs whereby a rapid resistance is attempted against sudden increase in intraluminal pressure through concentric “onion-skin” hyperplasia of intramural smooth muscle. Rarefaction, vascular pseudo-calciﬁcation and microaneurysm (<0.9 mm diameter) development in basal ganglia, thalamus, and pons are less recognized changes in response to poorly regulated BP. Due to the inability to grossly visualize microvascular changes, secondary detection through magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) visual markers have been a commonly substituted tool. These markers include white matter hyperintensities (WMH, leukoaraiosis), lacunes, cerebral microbleeds, cerebral atrophy, and increased perivascular spaces. Often, the early stages of cSVD are asymptomatic and may therefore be neglected. However, such illustrative changes provide an opportunity to discuss vascular processes and to educate patients with regard to modifiable risk factors. However, these are only prediagnostic markers of chronic small vessel disease and are not diagnostic markers.

Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome (PRES) and Microvascular Dysregulation
PRES is a rare phenomenon, characterized by an acute or subacute onset of global cerebral manifestations (i.e., seizures, visual disturbances, and nausea/vomiting). Clinically, PRES forms a growing differential among neurological presentations, but is poorly understood.

PRES manifestations are commonly brought about within a matter of hours by a known trigger, most commonly an acute rise in BP. Occurring in up to 80% of presentations, systolic BP has been recorded to peak up to 170–190 mmHg. As such, PRES has been commonly witnessed in groups with pre-existing risk of hypertension including; Guillain–Barre syndrome, illicit drug use, pre-eclampsia, and autoimmune/innemodeficient presentations. With no set diagnostic criteria, a clinicoradiological diagnosis through typical MRI findings of symmetrical cortical and/or subcortical edema in parieto-occipital regions that may “reverse” and disappear within a matter of weeks to months post onset.

The pathophysiology underlying PRES is controversial and has been held attributable to the vasogenic theory. The endovascular damage caused by pre-existing conditions in risk groups possibly lowering the thresholds for auto dysregulation and subsequent syndrome development. However, this theory is difficult to reconcile hypertensive PRES presentations. Furthermore, contemporary literature has shown atypical presentations of grey matter change and non-posterior circulation patterns including the temporal lobes, central deep white matter, basal ganglia, as well as anterior watershed areas. In one retrospective study, 64.2% of patients presented with frontal white matter changes rather than posterior circulatory regions.

Contemporary studies have also made efforts to conceptualize the possible pathophysiology for this prevalence within the medical oncology and transplant subspecialties. The immunomodulation and pharmacological metabolic cascades in chemotherapy, in addition to fluid overload, have shown to provide possible theories to these anatomical patterns. This includes the potent vasoconstriction and vasospasm or direct endothelial damage due to pro-inflammatory cytokines from specific pharmacology (e.g., cyclosporin), direct endothelial damage by pro-inflammatory mediators in patients with chemotheraphy as well as increased endothelial permeability, and microthrombotic damage due to vascular endothelial growth factors, and T cell activation in organ transplant patients. Overall, the contemporary theories postulate PRES as a primarily intrinsic endothelial dysfunction, whereas the reversible MRI markers are indicative of reversible endothelial damage rather than hypertensive ischemic damage. While this may explain the cause for PRES presentations in normotensive patients, further research is required to confirm these theories.

The absence of consensus diagnostic criteria has limited the literature to provide empirical data for the appropriate management of PRES. In addition to supportive management, contemporary literature has shown the rapid removal of a trigger to be associated with faster recovery and complications. As such, the use of IV or sublingual anti-hypertensives in the acute setting has been proven effective. While corticosteroids have been reported to precipitate as well as treat PRES, a retrospective study showed no significant association with vasogenic edema. However, its therapeutic impact still requires further evidence.

Sleep Disorders and cSVD
The literature has shown sleep disordered breathing disorders (SDBD) and sleep related movement disorders (SRMD) to have a bidirectional relationship with stroke and cardiovascular mortality/morbidity, whereby sleep disorders are risk factors for cardiovascular disease (CVD). This has been generally attributed to diurnal hypoxia-mediated autonomic and hemodynamic responses. However, recent literature has shown obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) to be an independent association to development of SCVD changes.

SDBDs
SDBD is an umbrella term for sleep-associated breathing disorders including obstructive (OSA), central or mixed sleep apnea and/or sleep-associated hypoventilation. OSA is most
prevalent among SBDB subtypes, affecting up to 34% males aged 30–70 years and 17.4% of women aged 30–70 years.\textsuperscript{[30]} Contemporary literature has also demonstrated that moderate-to-severe OSA is independently associated with microvascular changes, using MRI markers of cerebral ischemia.

Two recent meta-analyses have showcased no significant association with OSA and IHD (i.e., myocardial infarction and angina).\textsuperscript{[27,30]} In addition, included literature in our review has not shown any significant link between atrial fibrillation and stroke among OSA patients. These findings may indicate the pathophysiological impact of OSA on cerebral microvasculature to be independent of embolic or IHD pathophysiology. Furthermore, Butt \textit{et al.} showcased patients with moderate-to-severe OSA to have significant brachial artery hyperactivity, independent of a pre-existing arterial BP.\textsuperscript{[31]} This supports the belief that there may be a localized endothelial dysfunction mediated by OSA, independent to systemic BP, rather than an exacerbation of BP. This is in line with previous hypotheses that chronic cerebral microvascular changes such as capillary rarefaction may be present in OSA patients, as such changes have been recorded in forearm of OSA patients.\textsuperscript{[32]} In addition, there is insufficient knowledge of the impact of hypertensive management and its impact on the microvascular changes from OSA independently. Nevertheless, the qualitative impact of pre-existing hypertension to the degree of microvascular change has yet to be determined and requires further investigation.

\section*{SRMDs}

Similarly, there is empirical evidence to support periodic limb movements (PLM) as an independent risk factor to CVD, independent of its known cerebrovascular covariation. Boulos \textit{et al.} has shown an increase in PLM (≥5/h) to be significantly associated with increased WMH burden for first minor stroke or TIA presentations.\textsuperscript{[33]} An association significant when age, apnea/hypopnea, and cerebrovascular risk factors (including hypertension) are controlled.

PLM has a common association of up to 15% of restless leg syndrome (RLS) cases.\textsuperscript{[34]} Furthermore, an association with long-standing RLS to WMH burden is recognized. In a prospective study, Ferri \textit{et al.} showcased long-standing RLS (>10 year duration) to have an independent association to asymptomatic and thus subclinical cSVD.\textsuperscript{[35]} Another prospective study executed by Gupta \textit{et al.} found that pre-stroke RLS to only be a predictor for subcortical as opposed to cortical strokes (22.83\% vs. 2.74\%, \textit{P} < 0.001).\textsuperscript{[36]} Ferri \textit{et al.} did not assess MWH burden within cortical regions and only within subcortical, deep nuclear regions includingpons and thus cannot confirm Gupta’s findings.\textsuperscript{[33]} Boulos \textit{et al.} showed there to be no significant association between diagnosed RLS and WMH burden (\textit{P} = 0.046).\textsuperscript{[33]} However, the close epidemiological association between PLM and RLS is of note.\textsuperscript{[33,34]}

Overall, the pathophysiology behind PLM CVD risk is theoretical and under-investigated. Contemporary literature suggests the pathophysiology behind PLM CVD risk is multifactorial. However, the main attribution has been deemed as the nocturnal sympathetic hyperactivity causes a high variation in heart rate, vasomotor and thus, arterial BP. Triggering a cascade of mechanical endothelial stress congruent to the mechanisms of cSVD as mentioned above. Ferri \textit{et al.} also postulated this cerebral hemodynamic instability to produce transient intracerebral hypoxia-mediated damage.\textsuperscript{[35]} The hypercoagulable and oxidative stress which may contribute to the rise of pro-inflammatory biomarkers including CRP and Lp-PLA2 to have significant levels in PLM patients.\textsuperscript{[33,36]}

While Boulos \textit{et al.} identified a qualitative assessment for trends of WMH burden, the specific trends of WMH burden to intracerebral location was not investigated.\textsuperscript{[33]} They theorize PLM to have an unrecognized cerebral network which may allow WMH aggregation along these pathways. In turn, WMH themselves may make interconnected pathways that may increase PLM vulnerability to ischemic insult and thus increase risk of CVD. This theory is congruent with Gupta \textit{et al.}’s findings, whereby among the 35 patients with pre-stroke RLS, 8 presented strictly unilateral, and 16 patients had asymmetrical RLS involvement.\textsuperscript{[36]} All 24 patients showing symptom predominance on the motor aspect associated (contralateral) to the stroke affected cerebral hemisphere. Further prospective studies are required to confirm the nocturnal BP changes and determine if transient cerebral hypoxia episodes are confirmed. As well as confirm whether anatomical location of WMH is associated with PLM location.

\section*{Neurovascular Considerations of Hypertension}

\subsection*{Peri-operative complications}

The contemporary literature has showcased growing concern for hypertension as a significant variable to peri-operative complications and subsequent surgical prognosis. Perioperative hypertension is characterized as a 20\% or greater increase of the patient’s BP baseline from that of their pre-operative BP.\textsuperscript{[30]} In addition to surgical stress associated Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS) and sympathetic nervous system activation and intraoperative fluid overload, sympathetic stimulation from surgical handling of the deep white matter as well as metabolic stress from cerebral activation.\textsuperscript{[40]}

A literature review in 2011 stated the presence of isolated or combined pre-, intra-, and/or post-operative hypertension to increase intra- and post-operative ICH and hematoma formation at and remote from the operating site.\textsuperscript{[41]} Perioperative bleeding, especially at pressures of more than 160/90 mmHg, has been associated with microvascular damage subsequent to the acute hypertensive damage.\textsuperscript{[42]} In addition, surgical disruption of the BBB at the surgical site causes uncompensated vasogenic edema and subsequent secondary injury cascades. The surgical considerations toward preventing and reducing these hemorrhagic complications are still in question. Soghomonyan \textit{et al.} stated up to 50.8\% of survey responders aim to reduce such complications by performing permissive hypotension for cerebral aneurysm clipping.\textsuperscript{[43]} In addition, the literature
has recommended slow weaning from anesthesia to effectively suppress surgical stress-induced hypertension and bleeding tendency.\(^{41}\) In contrast, other studies have stated concern for intraoperative hypotension among pre-existing hypertensive patients due to anesthesia-induced vasodilatation in an already constricted blood volume, causing ischemic microvascular damage. Overall, empirical data to support the best perioperative management of hypertension are poor and cannot be appropriately evaluated until further investigation.

Hypertension undoubtedly creates deleterious acute and chronic consequences on the cerebrovascular architecture. Lifestyle and pharmacological management have been successful endeavors by medicine. However, up to 35.3% of current hypertensive presentations are diagnosed as resistant hypertension, whereas BP is perceived and/or confirmed as unresponsive to diuretic-containing triple drug combination.\(^{49}\) Up to 50% of such presentations have been likely attributed to an undiagnosed neurogenic etiology rather than a true pharmacological resistance.\(^{44}\)

The brain-heart axis requires complex multi-level processing. The brainstem contains the nucleus of solitary tract, a cardiovascular center which processes mechano- and chemo-peripheral baroreceptor and vagal inputs to deliver parasympathetic control to vascular tone, heart contractility, and rate.\(^{45,46}\) A similar process is seen in the rostral ventrolateral medulla (RVLM) to deliver sympathetic output.\(^{45,46}\) While the left RVLM has an additional function for receiving input from the baroreceptors of the left atrium. First hypothesized in the 1970s by Jannetta \textit{et al}., the vascular compression of the RVLM and adjacent root entry zone (REZ) of the glossopharyngeal (CNIX) and vagal (CNX) nerves to be a neurogenic etiology of hypertension.\(^{47}\) The pulsatile compression by adjacent vasculature activate residing RVLM sympathoexcitatory C1 neurons creating transient episodes of sympathetic and RAAS metabolic cascades, resulting in microvascular endothelial inflammation and remodeling.\(^{48}\)

Studies have shown success in the use of microvascular decompression (MVD) as a therapeutic treatment of the above hypothesis. Lu \textit{et al}.
identified a significant mean BP reduction when the left trigeminal nerve, RVLM, and REZ were decompressed (experimental group) as compared to trigeminal nerve decompression alone (control group).\(^{49}\) The experimental group found up to 83.3% of the experimental group to have an improvement or resolution of their hypertension (\(\Delta\text{SBP} < 0.001; \Delta\text{DBP} < 0.001\)), with an overall significant decrease in the mean SBP and DBP.\(^{49}\) While only the control group saw no significant improvement in BP (\(\Delta\text{SBP} = 0.131; \Delta\text{DBP} = 0.078\)).\(^{49}\) These trends were confirmed by Sindou \textit{et al}.
who prospectively determined a 79.2% effective rate.\(^{50}\) However, this study used a 1 week post-operative follow-up, which may be too short a time frame, considering a maximum anti-hypertensive effect has been shown at 1 year.\(^{49,49}\) If we consider the duration of post-operative assessment as a variant for result validity, results by Sindou \textit{et al}. would deem most valid. With a mean follow-up of 7 years (2–16 years), the side of decompression (of REZ and RVLM) was ipsilateral to concomitant facial nerve spasm.\(^{50}\) These results showcased left- and right-sided decompression to have no significant difference in efficacy.\(^{50}\) The efficacy of the right-sided decompression may be accountable by Lu \textit{et al}.’s theory where an associated cranial nerve symptom (e.g., trigeminal neuralgia or facial spasm) may enhance anxiety-induced sympathetic activation.\(^{49}\) Nevertheless, the results of the contemporary study are inconsistent and more prospective studies with long-term follow-up are required.

All three studies consistently suggest MVD to only be indicated in patients presenting with resistant hypertension that has MRI confirmed NVC at REZ and/or RVLM. Nevertheless, detection of an elongated arterial loop on MRI is only a presumptive diagnosis. The neurogenic nature of the MRI finding cannot be confirmed. While internationally recognized as a modality for hypertensive treatment, there remains no unified criterion for diagnosing neurogenic hypertension and thus the patient selection for MVD.

Greater research into long-term prognostic outcomes, cost-efficacy, and patient selection is likely to be of benefit; however, the literature on these factors is sparse. MVD was indicated for primary cranial nerve disorders including hemifacial spasm and trigeminal neuralgia rather than for resistant hypertension. As such, the etiology and identification of resistant hypertension as well as post-operative reduction in hypertension were not pursued. Until all such factors are investigated and evaluated, the benefit of therapeutic surgery for hypertension will remain uncertain.

**Discussion**

Overall, contemporary research has shown its growing efforts to investigate the direct association of hypertension and neurological outcomes. By providing a broad overview of multiple neural pathologies, we can see the negative effects of hypertension as it influences multiple pre-existing acute and chronic neurological and neurosurgical concerns.

Specifically, on a microvasculature level, the association of hypertension to neurological pathologies seems to be based upon overriding compensatory adaptations made within the intrinsic endothelial rather than systemic vascular environment.
However, there is an overarching lack of detailed knowledge to support this pathophysiological basis. With many associations and trends being theorized by the literature, we can also see that the subsequent efficacy of management is put into question.

In addition, none of the contemporary studies had appropriately evaluated hypertension as per the new hypertension thresholds. Instead the trends and associations of hypertension was under the definition of a BP greater than or equal to 140/90 mmHg. Thus, the findings of contemporary literature cannot be guaranteed applicable to all hypertensive patients, as these trends are yet to be confirmed prevalent in BP values between 130–140 mmHg and 80–90 mmHg, systolic and diastolic, respectively.

Conclusion and Future Perspectives

There is growing contemporary evidence that supports hypertension as an important risk factor and etiology to multiple neurological pathologies. Nevertheless, we have been able to see several gaps in the literature. This has made it difficult to determine the true impact of BP optimization to each neural pathology. Future research should reinvestigate the contemporary concerns of hypertension as mentioned above, but in the context of the new hypertension thresholds. This will reconfirm contemporary concerns and thus determine a true qualitative value of hypertension to each neuropathology. Research must be executed through more in-depth analysis, for example, examining if associations of hypertensive severity to microvascular damage and autoregulatory loss.

We believe it is also crucial for respective clinicians to advocate and implicate hypertension prevention and intervention into their subspecialty practice. Thus, we believe it is important for clinicians involved in neurological care (e.g., neurologists, neurosurgeons, neuroradiologists, and neuropsychologists) to recognize the gravity of hypertension to the morbidity and mortality of their patients. Specialty clinicians must begin to change their perceived role in patient management from treatment and symptom reduction to complication prevention and on-going optimization of BP. We also suggest researchers and clinicians to begin to identify current clinician knowledge, perception, and concerns regarding hypertension. This will allow barriers, limitations, and potential solutions to the integration of hypertension care to be identified. In hopes to create an appropriate standardized guidelines of the role of each specialist to the management of hypertension.

This review is to provide a broad umbrella of up-to-date knowledge and recommendations for patients, health professionals, health service providers, and researchers in the context of rapidly developing vascular knowledge. Furthermore, the knowledge we present is focused on the unidirectional direct impact of arterial hypertension toward neural complications. We iterate that the relationship of arterial hypertension and neurological/neurosurgical conditions is increasingly understood to be bi-directional. Future research should explore the complex and unique impact of neurological/neurosurgical conditions to arterial hypertension.
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Appendix

Appendix 1: Medline (OVID) search criteria

S1 Intracranial hypertension/or hypertension.mp. or essential hypertension/or hypertension/or hypertension, malignant/or hypertension. mp

S2 (hypertension or "arterial hypertension" or "hypertension in neurosurgery" or "hypertension in neurology").m_titl.

S3 1 or 2

S4 (neurology or neurosurgery or "neurological surgery" or "surgical neurology" or cerebrovascular).m_titl.

S5 Cerebrovascular.mp. or cerebrovascular trauma/or cerebrovascular disorders/

S6 neurosurgery.mp. or Neurosurgery/

S7 neurology.mp. or Neurology/

S8 4 or 5 or 6 or 7

S9 Cerebral small vessel diseases/or "small vessel disease":mp.

S10 (microvascular or "small vessel disease" or "cerebral small vessel disease" or microneurosurgery or microaneurysm or "microvascular brain damage" or microaneurysm).mp.

S11 (PRES or "posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome" or "hypertensive encephalopathy" or "hypertensive crisis" or "hemorrhagic stroke" or "hemorrhagic stroke" or AVM or "arteriovenous malformation").mp.

S12 9 or 10

S13 11 or 12

S14 3 and 8 and 13

S15 Limit 14 to (English language and humans and yr="2011-2020")